

②

1007-

④

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(CHHATTISGARH)WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 3865 OF 2006PETITIONER :

Ramsingh Kanwar, aged about 35 years, Son of Shri Pyarelal, Occupation-Service, Presently posted as Patwari, Halka No.11, Gadapali, R.I. Circle Barpali, Tahsil Kartala, District Korba (C.G.).

3932/06
P.R. No.
Presented by Shri. G. N. Meshram
dated..... 25/7/06

VERSUSRESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through :
The Secretary, Department of Revenue, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Deputy Secretary, Department of Revenue, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
3. The Commissioner, (Land Records), Raipur (C.G.).
4. The Collector, District Korba, (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY
A. G. BILASPUR
CLE



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA

9/12/06

मामला क्रमांक .WP.No....3.8.65 सन् 2006

44

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	7.8.2006:- Shri P.P. Sahu, counsel for the petitioner. Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents, on advance copy. Heard. By the instant petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 30-6-2006 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Halka No.10, R.I. Circle Barpali, District Korba to Halka No.9, Tehsil Kusmi, District Surguja. The main grievance of the petitioner is that he is a district level employee and he has been transferred inter-district, which is going to affect his seniority and other emoluments. In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to the respondent No.1 and he be directed to decide the same, to which counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition to the respondent No.1 raising all his grievances within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law.	

P.T.O.

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -२-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
6	In the meantime, the effect and operation of the impugned order in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed, till the representation of the petitioner is decided. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3020/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.	Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge

Barve

P.T.O.

10-8-06
10-8-06